EXHIBIT E - PART 1

1	ORIGINAI 1
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	300 HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	DONNY A. SINKOV, as Father and
5	Potential Personal Representative of SPENCER SINKOV, deceased, and the
6	Estate of Spencer E. Sinkov, deceased,
7	Plaintiffs,
8	-against-
9	DONALD B. SMITH, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of
10	Putnam County, JOSEPH A. VASATURO, individually, LOUIS G. LAPOLLA,
11	individually, THE COUNTY OF PUTNAM, New York, and AmeriCor, INC.,
12	Defendants.
13	berendunes.
14	
15	222 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, New York
16	February 13, 2008 11:18 a.m.
17	11.10 W.m.
18	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of DONALD SMITH, a
19	Defendant in the above-captioned matter, held at
20	the above time and place, before a Notary Public
21	of the State of New York.
22	
23	Gale Salit,
24	Shorthand Reporter
25	

1		2
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	LOVETT & GOULD	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff 222 Bloomingdale Road	
5	White Plains, New York 10601	
6	BY: KIM BERG, ESQ.	
7		
8	MIRANDA SOKOLOFF SAMBURSKY SLONE	
9	VERVENIOTIS, LLP Attorneys for Defendant -	
10	Donald Smith 240 Mineola Boulevard	
11	Mineola, New York 11501 BY: ADAM I. KLEINBERG, ESQ.	
12	BY: ADAM 1: RELINGENCY LOQ!	
13		
14	SANTANELO, RANDAZZO & MANGONE, LLP	
15	Attorneys for Defendants - Joseph A. Vasaturo,	
16	Louis G. LaPolla and The County Of Putnam	
17	151 Broadway Hawthorne, New York 10532	
18	BY: JAMES RANDAZZO, ESQ.	i
19		
20	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER	
21	Attorneys for Defendant - AmeriCor, Inc.	
22	Three Gannett Drive White Plains, New York 10604	
	BY: TIMOTHY P. COON, ESQ.	
23	ALSO PRESENT: Donny A. Sinkov	
24	o0o	
25		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto, that the sealing and filing of the within deposition be waived; that such deposition may be signed and sworn to before any officer authorized to administer an oath with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before a Justice of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to form, are reserved to the time of trial.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within examination and any corrections thereto may be signed before any Notary Public with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before this Court.

COMPU-TRAN SHORTHAND REPORTING

1	- Donald Smith -	4
2	DONALD SMITH,	
3	having been duly sworn by Gale Salit,	
4	a Notary Public within and for the State	
5	of New York, was examined and testified	
6	as follows:	
7		
8	000	
9		
10	EXAMINATION BY MS. BERG:	
11	Q. State your name and address for the	
12	record, please.	
13	A. Donald Blaine Smith, 3 County	
14	Center, Carmel, New York 10512.	
15	Q. Sheriff Smith, I'm going to be	
16	asking you some questions here today in	
17	connection with the Sinkov lawsuit.	
18	A. Yes, ma'am.	
19	Q. As before, let me know if there's	
20	anything I say that you don't understand. Also,	
21	verbalize all of your responses so they can be	
22	taken down for the purposes of the transcript.	
23	If you feel during the deposition	
24	that an answer is incomplete or incorrect, I ask	
25	you just to let me know before you leave here	

1	- Donald Smith -	5
2	today so we can make sure we have complete and	
3	accurate answers on the record.	
4	Do you understand all of that?	
5	A. Yes, I do.	
6	Q. You're currently the Sheriff of	
7	Putnam County?	
8	A. Yes, I am.	
9	Q. You were installed into that	
10	position January 1, 2002?	
11	A. That is correct.	
12	Q. Since that point in time can you	
13	describe what your duties and responsibilities	
14	have been with respect to the Putnam County	
15	Correctional Facility?	
16	A. First of all, I'm the chief law	
17	enforcement officer in the county and as such	
18	the Putnam County Correctional Facility comes	
19	under the jurisdiction of the sheriff.	
20	Q. What specifically are you	
21	responsible for with respect to that facility?	
22	A. As the Sheriff of the county I set	
23	broad policies and procedures for the operation	
24	of the Putnam County Correctional Facility.	
25	Q. Is anybody involved in setting more	

6 - Donald Smith -1 specific policies or procedures? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Who is that? 4 0. There's a jail administrator, 5 Α. Captain Robert LeFever, and he is assisted by a 6 jail lieutenant, Lieutenant Pat O'Malley. And, 7 of course, there's a whole team of staff that 8 work in the jail. I also have an undersheriff, 9 Peter Convery, who has responsibilities for the 10 day-to-day operations of the department which 11 includes the correctional facility. 12 Since becoming sheriff on January 13 0. 1, 2002 did you ever do anything to review what 1: policies or procedures were in place in the 15 jail? 16 Α. Yes. 17 When did you do that? 18 Q. well, actually, that's an ongoing 19 Α. But it started, as I recall, even 20 before I became the sheriff. As soon as I was 21 elected back in November of 2001 I started 22 endeavoring to gain as much information about 23 the total office of sheriff, which included the 24 Putnam County Correctional Facility. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

difficult time getting some information because the outgoing sheriff did not really want to set up a transition team. I had a transition team that met and, you know, we were preparing to assume all of our responsibilities on January 1st. 2002. So I reviewed as many of the policies and procedures on the broad spectrum of the office of sheriff, which included the correctional facility.

- Then did you conduct a more specific review once you became sheriff?
- Upon becoming the sheriff I Α. met with my department heads -- I'm sorry, not department heads, division chiefs and discussed overall responsibilities. I certainly toured the correctional facility, I talked to people. And, of course, as part of my preparation, you know, I met with fellow sheriffs at the New York State Sheriff's Association and I also attended some training in the National Sheriff's Institute in Longmont, Colorado.
- At any point in time did you ever 0. have occasion to review the State Commission of Corrections minimum standards?

Α.

1	
1	

2

- Donald Smith -

Yes, I recall reading a number of

8

- 3 4
- 5
- 7

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- documents. And, you know, I don't have -- at this point I don't have a specific date or recollection of when it happened, but I know I reviewed everything that was available to me. It was quite a bit of work and I reviewed everything that I could get my hands on.
- Did you conduct that review in Q. terms of the state's minimum standards sometime say within the first year of your appointment or election?
 - I believe so, yes. Α.
- Did you also review the written policies and procedures that were specific to the Putnam County Correctional Facility?
 - Yes, I did. Α.
- Do you recall with respect to those policies and procedures that some of them referred to the intake of new inmates?
- Yes, I recall that. And I also recall discussions with Captain Butler and at the time Lieutenant LeFever and Sergeant o'mallev.
 - And the discussions that you had Q.

with those three individuals, did those pertain in any way to the intake procedures?

- A. It was the broad scope of the operations of the jail, which I'm sure included the intake procedures. Because there were a number of issues and concerns that I had at the time and I took a number of actions on a number of issues at the time. So...
- Q. Do you recall if any of the concerns or the issues that you acted upon related in any way to the intake process?
- A. I don't recall any issues relating to the -- specifically to the intake process.
- Q. Do you recall if any of the issues related in any way to suicide prevention in the jail?
- prevention, obviously, and we talked about it.

 And I know that we talked about the procedures that were used being in compliance with the Commission of Correction. And I was specifically -- the specific concern that I first had that related to the intake, and virtually every aspect of an inmate's stay at

LeFever -- Lieutenant LeFever was promoted to captain and Sergeant Pat O'Malley was the administration sergeant and was the obvious choice to become the lieutenant.

- Q. With respect to the suicide prevention policies and procedures at the Putnam County Correctional Facility, do you recall anything more specific that one or more than one of those individuals conveyed to you about those procedures?
 - A. No.

- Q. Do you recall who specifically told you that the procedures were in compliance with the Commission standards?
- A. I don't recall, but again most of my conversations were with Captain Butler as I recall since he was the -- he was the jail administrator.
- Q. Did you discuss with any of those individuals any intake forms that were being used at the facility?
- A. The only thing I recall is that when we talked about the form that it was -- it was the form approved by the Commission and it

Again, my conversations were primarily with those three gentlemen.

- Q. Do you specifically recall that one of those three told you that the form that the Putnam County Correctional Facility was using for intake was in fact approved by the Commission?
- A. I specifically remember that it was in compliance, it was in compliance with the Commission. It was basically -- in fact, it was basically a replica of the Commission's form. It was the Commission's form. But it was included in a complete packet of medical questions so that everything would be all in one packet. That was my memory of that discussion.
- Q. This discussion would have occurred sometime in 2002?
 - A. 2002.
- Q. Do you recall at that time when you were told that the form was basically a replica of the Commission's form whether you were alerted to any differences between the Commission's form and the form Putnam County used?

1	- Donald Smith -	14
2	A. I was not.	
3	Q. When did you first come to learn of	
4	the actual differences between the two forms?	
5	A. The first actual difference that I	
6	learned of the forms was in the October/November	÷.
7	time frame of 2007.	
8	Q. How did you first come to learn	
9	that?	
10	A. I believe it was I believe it	
11	was in a I believe I had asked Confidential	
12	Advisor Bill Spain, Esquire, to do some research	
13	for me in preparation for some disciplinary	
14	proceedings. And I believe that's when the	
15	difference in the form came to my attention.	
16	Q. So was it Spain that brought the	
17	difference to your attention?	
18	A. I believe it was it either	
19	MR. KLEINBERG: Anything	
20	attorney/client privilege you don't have	
21	to talk about.	
22	A. He's an attorney. He's my	
23	confidential advisor, so	
24	Q. So are you asserting	
25	attorney/client privilege for your	

	•	
1	- Donald Smith -	15
2	communications with Spain?	
3	A. Well, if I open up the	
4	attorney/client privilege, it's open and, you	
5	know, I think	
6	Q. You just have to answer yes or no.	
7	MR. KLEINBERG: You have to	
8	answer yes, you are asserting the	
9	privilege.	
10	Q. Yes, you are?	
11	A. I have nothing to hide, but yes I	
12	am asserting the privilege.	
13	MR. KLEINBERG: Yes, I'm	
14	instructing you to do that.	
15	Q. Prior to your conversations with	
16	Spain in October and November of 2007 had you	
17	ever looked at the forms, the intake forms?	
18	A. The intake forms in our jail?	
19	Q. Yes.	
20	A. Yes.	t.
21	Q. Did you do that starting in 2002?	
22	A. 2002, yes.	
23	Q. In terms of the State Commission,	
24	you understood that they had a web site that you	
25	could access information from?	

1	- Donald Smith -	16
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Did you ever do that?	
4	A. I never went on the Web site.	
5	Q. Yourself personally?	
6	A. No, never personally. Again, I	71.
7	have a staff that, you know, I rely on for a lot	
8	of support.	
9	Q. You indicated that you did review	
10	the state's written minimum standards for county	
11	jails, correct?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Did you ever have occasion to	
14	review the Commission's memoranda, which are	
L5	issued to the jail administrators?	
16	A. From time to time I have reviewed	
17	memoranda that came out from the Commission.	
18	Q. How is it that you receive	
19	information that's contained in that memoranda?	
20	A. They will appear in my reading	
21	file.	
22	Q. Is that something that your	
23	assistant gives you?	
24	A. It would be my secretary would give	
25	me a reading file or a signature file.	

Commission that you reviewed pertaining in any way to suicide prevention?

- A. I review any reports that come down from the Commission. I am very involved with mental health issues in the State of New York.

 So it's a topic that deeply concerns me. I read everything I can get on the subject.
- Q. Have you ever had any communications, either written or verbal, with the Office of Mental Health, the State's Office of Mental Health?
- discussions with the State's Office of Mental Health, but I have been involved with Commissioner of Social Services and Mental Health Michael Piazza from Putnam County on a number of mental health issues affecting inmates in the jail, affecting the public at large which many times end up in our jail because of issues that occur out in the public. So I've only dealt through the Commissioner Mike Piazza.

 We've worked very, very closely and we have also worked with the Putnam Hospital Center on these issues.

after Spencer Sinkov's suicide, that I directed that every intake in the jail would be reported to the undersheriff and that the undersheriff would report to me and go over any issues pertaining to intake. Not only suicide issues, but also any mental health issues, any medical issues. That was one issue.

The other issue was after I found out in the October/November time frame about the form I directed Captain LeFever to use the state form, to no longer use our form, the Putnam County form that had been there for a number of years.

- Q. Were those policies that you say were modified under your tenure as sheriff done in writing or verbally?
 - A. They were done verbally.
- Q. For how long did the first modification whereby every intake was reported to the undersheriff and then to you, how long did that stay in place?
 - A. It's still in place today.
 - Q. When did it begin?
 - A. It began shortly after Spencer's

21 - Donald Smith -1 death. 2 Sometime then in the summer of 0. 3 2006? 4 Right, and I can't remember the 5 Α. specific date. But it's something that we live 6 with every day because it involves numerous 7 phone calls. 8 In terms of the information that's 9 Ο. reported to the undersheriff and then to you, 10 does that consist of written or verbal or both? 11 verbal. It's by telephone and it 1.2 Α. -- it is both a push pull system if you will. 13 In other words, if I don't know of an intake I 14 get the call. And if I just happen to hear with 15 my law enforcement responsibilities that someone 16 is coming to jail and I haven't heard from the 17 undersheriff, I will be calling him and asking 18 him what's the status and to check on it, even 19 if the inmate hasn't even been -- sometimes it's 20 a situation where someone is arraigned and 21 released, so I'm asking for -- it's a push pull 22 system. 23 what information are you verbally 24 advised of as part of the system? 25

That would be just on the

Α.

check, where officers are available but the checks are made every 30 minutes. Then there's active supervision. And active supervision involves 15-minute supervisory visits. Then, of course, there's constant supervision, which is one-on-one supervision where the officer has total eye contact, you know, with the inmate. You could actually have one-on-two but also meet the criteria of constant supervision.

- Q. Where the one officer can visibly see two inmates at the same time?
- A. One officer can be sitting and looking directly at two cells. That would meet the Commission's standard.
- Q. In terms of the modification in or about the summer of '06 where you are notified by the undersheriff of new intakes, are you as part of that process notified of individuals placed on active supervision or just constant watch?
- A. Well, basically -- basically, the main concern, of course, is the constant supervision. But the undersheriff during the conversation with me will tell me, you know,

there's this problem and the person is, you know, we put him on active supervision. We use the active supervision quite a bit with new intakes, but we don't use the active supervision for, you know, suicide prevention.

- Q. That's been true at least since the summer of 2006?
- A. That those phones calls have been made, yes.
- Q. In terms, though, of using active supervision as a matter of practice in the jail for suicide prevention prior to that time, prior to the summer of '06, do you know if that was used?
- A. It is our policy that the only -the only acceptable supervision for a suicidal
 inmate would be a constant watch.
- ${\tt Q.}$ And that was the policy then prior to the summer of 2006?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. What do you base your belief on?
 - A. In that that is our written policy.
- Q. Do you know if in practice, in other words the way that policy has actually

been implemented, prior to the summer of 2006 whether active supervision was used for inmates who posed a risk of suicide?

- A. In these depositions I have heard some information that is troubling. But through these depositions I have heard some issues pertaining to that question, Miss Berg.
- Q. Put aside what you have heard during the course of this lawsuit. Prior to, for example, attending a deposition in this case, were you aware at any point in time that in practice staff at the jail did not place inmates on constant watch where the form indicated there was a risk of suicide?
- A. No, I believed the policy was being followed, that they were being placed on a constant watch.
 - Q. What do you base your belief on?
- A. First of all, just the number of the briefings at morning staff call, the number of constant watches that we had in the jail. We had a significant number of constant watches in the jail throughout my tenure as sheriff.
 - Q. Since January of 2002?

1.5

- Donald Smith -

A. Yes.

Q. Anything else that you base your belief on?

the Sheriff of the county. You know, many times I have been in the jail, they have been on suicide watches. I've been briefed that people have been put on suicide watches. Now prior to -- prior to the summer of 2006 I wasn't getting a report every time an inmate was being put on a constant watch.

- Q. Why did you implement the modification to the policies now requiring that you personally be notified about every new intake?
- responsibilities very seriously. I served many years in the military and I have a strong belief that everyone entrusted to our care is a person who has a life with hopes and dreams and I certainly wanted to ensure that a failsafe mechanism was put in to make sure that the leadership was involved with every decision.
 - Q. Why not implement that failsafe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mechanism prior to the summer of 2006?

- Prior to the summer of 2006 I Α. believed the -- I believed the screening process was working.
- what did you base your belief on Q. prior to the summer of 2006 that that process was working?
- Every -- every -- every inspection Α. that we had from the Commission of Correction was positive in this area. We didn't have a problem identified. Even when we had a suicide in I believe it was November of 2003, the Commission of Correction came down with a team and did a complete investigation and did not identify any, as I recall from the report, any issue specifically with the suicide screening and the -- I really -- I really didn't have any issue with -- there was an issue identified with the psychiatrist, the support. There was just no indication to me that there was such a problem.
- You indicated that you did learn of some information during the course of this lawsuit with respect to the actual practice in

the jail of not implementing constant watch in cases where the suicide screening form was eight or higher or shaded boxes were checked, correct?

MR. KLEINBERG: Objection.

- A. I believe what I learned during this lawsuit was -- obviously, we have the tragic instance pertaining to Spencer. But I learned during the lawsuit that the shaded boxes were not being looked at in a manner that I believed to be the case.
- Q. In terms of the shaded boxes not being looked at in a specific manner, did you understand that those boxes were shaded for a particular reason?
- A. Yes, they are shaded for a particular reason.
 - O. Which is?
- A. Which is if a shaded box is checked or if the score is eight or higher, the inmate should absolutely be put on a constant watch, constant supervision.
- Q. Did you also learn, either in this case or elsewhere, that correction staff in the facility did not always place inmates who scored